# Implementation Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved		
Overall Rating:	Highly Satisfactory	
Decision:	Continue as planned: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. All management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00121776	
Portfolio/Project Title:	Rural Adelante	
Portfolio/Project Date:	2019-10-15 / 2024-12-31	

#### Strategic

**Quality Rating: Exemplary** 

1. Is the project pro-actively identifying changes to the external environment and incorporating them into the project strategy?

- 3: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives and the assumptions have been tested to determine if the project's strategy is still valid. There is evidence that the project board has considered the implications, and documented any changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes may not have been fully integrated in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team may have considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team has considered changes to the project as a result.

El proyecto es ejecutado por el FIDA, por lo que utili za mecanismos de PNUD y de FIDA. Se han realizado, Juntas de Proyecto, Misiones de s eguimiento del FIDA y reuniones mensuales de mon itoreo al avance de las inversiones e indicadores. En estos espacios se han identificado los cambios e xternos y se han generado estrategias para avanzar y agilizar la ejecución.

#### **List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
1	AM_MisiónSupervisión_RuralAdelante_MAG FIDA_10666_201 (https://intranet.undp.org/a pps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AM_Misi ónSupervisión_RuralAdelante_MAGFIDA_10 666_201.pdf)	ryna.avila@undp.org	11/30/2021 11:18:00 PM	
2	Minuta_JPRuralAdelante_9FEB21_10666_2 01 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/ QAFormDocuments/Minuta_JPRuralAdelant e_9FEB21_10666_201.doc)	ryna.avila@undp.org	11/30/2021 11:19:00 PM	

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds at least one of the development settings<sup>3</sup> as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopts at least one Signature Solution<sup>4</sup> and the project's RRF includes at all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work<sup>1</sup> as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may respond to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside the UNDP Strategic Plan.
   Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

UNDAF 2016-2020		
01127.11 2010 2020		
La población goza de mayores oportunidades pa	ara	
acceder a un trabajo decente con medios de vida	a so	
stenibles, contribuyendo al crecimiento productiv	10 e	
inclusivo.		
Producto(s) Indicativo(s) 2.3 Unidades productiva	as	
mejora sus capacidades productivas, aplican tec		
ogías sostenibles y generan medios de vida para	a jóv	
enes y mujeres		
List of Uploaded Documents		
		Madified On
File Name	Modified By	Modified On
	Modified By	Modified On
<ul><li>File Name</li><li>No documents available.</li></ul>	Modified By	Modified On
	Modified By	Modified On

Relev	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
	re the project's targeted groups being systematically engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and ginalized, to ensure the project remains relevant for them?
۲	3: Systematic and structured feedback has been collected over the past two years from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups are active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
$\bigcirc$	2: Targeted groups have been engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is addressing local priorities. This information has been used to inform project decision making. (all must be true)
$\bigcirc$	1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform project decision making. This option is also selected if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.
$\bigcirc$	Not Applicable

Si se están involucrando los grupos marginados co mo mujeres, jóvenes y pueblos indígenas. Ya se tie ne establecida una cuota mínima de participación. Estos grupos son incluidos en la toma de decisiones Comités de aprobación de propuestas. En ambos h ay representatividad de un joven y una mujer.

	List of Uploaded Documents					
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On			
1	No documents available.					

4. Is the project generating knowledge and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team.
   There is little or no evidence that this has informed project decision making.

#### Evidence:

El programa está iniciando un proceso de recolecció n de lecciones aprendidas a través de ejercicios y re ndición de cuentas e intercambio y organizaciones. El primer ejercicio se llevará a cabo la primer seman a de diciembre.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
¥	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
١o	documents available.		
	the project sufficiently at scale, or is there po lopment change?	tential to scale up in the futu	re, to meaningfully contribute to
	<ol> <li>There is credible evidence that the project through significant coverage of target groups development change.</li> </ol>		
	2: While the project is currently not at scale, (e.g. by extending its coverage or using proje		
	1: The project is not at scale, and there are r	no plans currently to scale up	o the project in the future.
vi	dence:		
al <sup>1</sup> ue 14 at El	programa interviene en la zona de oriente de vador, en los departamentos de Usulután, Sa II, Morazán y la Unión. Los beneficiarios rep an una muestra del territorio, se pretende lleg 0 con Planes de Negocio y 40 con Acciones vas. MAG estudiará las lecciones aprendidas del to para evaluar la posibilidad de un proyecto ala nacional.	n Mig prese gar a Afirm proy	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
\$	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
٩N	documents available.		

# Principled

# **Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

6. Are the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and producing the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes have been made.

- 3: The project team has systematically gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team has some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team has limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

El Programa está iniciando a recoger data, tiene me canismos establecidos para asegurar la participació n de mujeres y jóvenes, así como la población indíg ena.

Por el momento la información no está completada y cubierto para todo el potencial de lo que se quiere hacer, para poder proceder a usar la data y hacer lo s ajustes respectivos.

Cabe destacar que se utilizan las metodologías del FIDA para incorporar a las mujeres, como la de "Cer rando Brechas".

Se anexa el Marco Lógico.

#### **List of Uploaded Documents**

#	ŧ	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
	No documents available.			

7. Are social and environmental impacts and risks being successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there has been a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP is updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project is categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks have not been tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk there is no evidence that social and environmental assessments have been completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There have been substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP has not been updated. (any may be true)

El proyecto tiene su mecanismo para identificar los r iesgos climáticos en cada inversión que realizan, se generan las medidas de prevención y adaptación al cambio climático.

## List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On		
No	No documents available.				

8. Are grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and are grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm is effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people have been actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project is categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received, they are effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people have been informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project is categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received they are responded to but face challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: Project-affected people not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances have been received they are not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:			
El MAG tiene su oficina de in OIR, ha recibido diversas con a implementación del proyec as por el momento	nsultas relacionadas a l		
En el trabajo de campo, el Po nismo de rendición de cuenta eneficiarios el detalle de la in	as y le informan a los b		
En los acuerdo de la Misión o se abordó el mejorar un esta	-		
	blecimiento de quejas.		
se abordó el mejorar un esta	blecimiento de quejas.	Modified O	n

Management & Monitoring	Quality Rating: Satisfactory			
9. Is the project's M&E Plan sufficient and adequately implemented?				
<ul> <li>populated. Progress data against indicators in the psources and collected according to the frequency srelevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, furgender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, includit to take corrective actions when necessary. (all mustic contactors in the project's RRF is collected on a registing the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation stand have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all not be corrective actions yet.)</li> </ul>	aselines and targets are populated. Progress data against ular basis, although there may be some slippage in following are not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if lards. Lessons learned have been captured but may not			
	ist the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not learned are rarely captured and used. Select this option also			

Evi	dence:		
na ón da Lo	Programa tiene un Plan de monitoreo y dos pers as dedicadas en las tareas de monitoreo, evaluaci a y gestión del conocimiento, debidamente certific as por el FIDA. os indicadores son actualizados mensualmente y ha construido una línea de base.	a	
Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
	documents available.	ct board or equivalent) functioning	as intended?
0. Is		ting well, and is a model for other and the minutes of the meetings a board or equivalent on results, ris uses evidence, including progress gement decisions (e.g., change in in the agreed frequency and the r tted to the project board or equiva oth must be true to select this option met in the frequency stated in the	projects. It has met in the are on file. There is regular iks and opportunities. It is s data, knowledge, lessons strategy, approach, work minutes of the meeting are lent at least once in the par on) project document over the
0. Is	3: The project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project agreed frequency stated in the project document (at least annual) progress reporting to the project clear that the project board explicitly reviews and and evaluations, as the basis for informing manag- plan.) (all must be true to select this option) 2: The project's governance mechanism has met on file. A project progress report has been submit year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (but 1: The project's governance mechanism has not past year and/or the project board or equivalent is	ting well, and is a model for other and the minutes of the meetings a board or equivalent on results, ris uses evidence, including progress gement decisions (e.g., change in in the agreed frequency and the r tted to the project board or equiva oth must be true to select this option met in the frequency stated in the	projects. It has met in the are on file. There is regular iks and opportunities. It is s data, knowledge, lessons strategy, approach, work <i>minutes of the meeting are</i> <i>lent at least once in the pa</i> <i>on)</i> project document over the

# List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

11. Are risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project has actively monitored risks every quarter including consulting with key stakeholders, including security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures are being fully implemented to address each key project risk, and have been updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: The project has monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log has not been updated as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored risks (including security risks or incidents) that may affect the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been taken to mitigate risks. In the case of a deteriorating security environment, no consultation has occurred with the UNDP Security Office on appropriate measures.

#### Evidence:

El programa ha monitoreado los riesgos de manera anual y los ha presentado en la Junta Ejecutiva.

# List of Uploaded Documents

# File Name	Modified By	Modified On			
No documents available.					

#### Efficient

## **Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

12. Adequate resources have been mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

Yes

No

#### Evidence:

Los recursos se han movilizado y han sido suficient es para la búsqueda de los resultados.

	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
0	documents available.		
A	re project inputs procured and delivered on time	to efficiently contribute to	results?
	3: The project has an updated procurement plan project quarterly reviews operational bottleneck through appropriate management actions. (all n	s to procuring inputs in a ti nust be true)	mely manner and addresses them
	2: The project has an updated procurement plan procuring inputs in a timely manner and address true)		•
	1: The project does not have an updated procur operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a taken to address them.		
	demonstration of the second		
VI	dence:		
EI	<b>dence:</b> Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua lo y es una herramienta de gestión.	liz	
EI	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua	liz	
EI	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua	liz	
El	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua	liz	
El ad	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua lo y es una herramienta de gestión.	liz Modified By	Modified On
El	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua lo y es una herramienta de gestión. <b>st of Uploaded Documents</b>		Modified On
El	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua lo y es una herramienta de gestión. st of Uploaded Documents File Name		Modified On
El ad	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua lo y es una herramienta de gestión. st of Uploaded Documents File Name	Modified By	
El lad	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua lo y es una herramienta de gestión. st of Uploaded Documents File Name documents available.	Modified By efficiencies taking into acc eviews costs against releva sure the project maximizes so with other relevant ongo	ount the expected quality of result ant comparators (e.g., other project s results that can be delivered with ing projects and initiatives (UNDP
El ad	Programa tiene un plan de adquisiciones actua lo y es una herramienta de gestión. st of Uploaded Documents File Name documents available. s there regular monitoring and recording of cost of 3: There is evidence that the project regularly re or country offices) or industry benchmarks to en given resources. The project actively coordinate	Modified By efficiencies taking into acc eviews costs against releva sure the project maximizes swith other relevant ongo iencies wherever possible anecdotal examples of cos analysis of costs and no lin	ount the expected quality of result ant comparators (e.g., other project s results that can be delivered with ing projects and initiatives (UNDP (e.g. joint activities.) (both must b st efficiencies (e.g., spending less ink to the expected quality of result

#	File Name	Modified By	woulled On
			Modified On
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
	siciones y contempla mecanismos de comparaci de precios	0	
	l programa aplica la normativa de PNUD para ad		

fecti	ive	Quality Rating: Exe	emplary
5. ls	s the project is on track to deliver its expec	ted outputs?	
<u> </u>	<b>Yes</b> No		
Evi	dence:		
No	o se evidencias retrasos sustanciales en la		
IP	Programa en lo que va de ejecución a la fe		
	Programa en lo que va de ejecución a la fe st of Uploaded Documents	Glia	
		Modified By	Modified On
Lis #	st of Uploaded Documents		Modified On

3: Quarterly progress data has informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities
implemented are most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned
(including from evaluations and/or After Action Reviews) have been used to inform course corrections, as
needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both must be true)

2: There has been at least one review of the work plan per year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.

1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered on time, no link has been made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management has taken place over the past year.

## Evidence:

El programa revisa mensualmente el progreso de su s indicadores y tiene las Misiones de supervisión del FIDA, tomando en cuenta todas las observaciones r ealizadas

# List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

17. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected?

- 3: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work.
   Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project does not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited or has not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

La identificación de organizaciones, para apoyarles con donaciones que se aprueban desde sus Planes de Fortalecimiento Organizacional, Planes de Nego cio y acciones afirmativas de género, tienen un mec anismo para incluir a grupos de mujeres, jóvenes y pueblos indígenas

# List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

### Sustainability & National Ownership

#### **Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

18. Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

# 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)

- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to implement and monitor the project, but other support (such as country office support or project systems) may also be used if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There is relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.

Not Applicable

#### **Evidence:**

EL MAG como institución ejecutora y desde la Unid ad Gestora de Proyecto, ejecutan correctamente las adquisiciones, monitoreo y evaluaciones pertinentes

18	Implementation Print					
List of Uploaded Documents						
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On			
No documents available.						
pro	19. There is regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed. The implementation arrangements <sup>5</sup> have been adjusted according to changes in partner capacities.					
0	3: In the past two years, changes in capacitie comprehensively assessed/monitored using o data sources including relevant HACT assura reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreeme must be true)	clear indicators, rigorous metho ance activities. Implementation	ods of data collection and credible arrangements have been formally			
$\bigcirc$	2: In the past two years, aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment has been made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)					
$\bigcirc$	1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and have been monitored by the project, however considered. Also select this option if changes systems have not been monitored by the proj	changes to implementation ar in capacities and performance	rangements have not been			

No aplica, pues el proyecto no alcanza los dos años de ejecución.

# List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On

No documents available.

20. The transition and phase-out arrangements are reviewed regularly and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitments and capacity).

	<ul> <li>3: The project's governance mechanism has reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true)</li> <li>2: There has been a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.</li> <li>1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but there has not been a review of this strategy since it was developed. Also select this option if the project does not have a sustainability strategy.</li> </ul>					
Evi	idence:					
ue ul	l programa contempla un plan de sustentabilidad e implica el fortalecimiento organizacional y la vine ación de las organizaciones con actores permane tes en el territorio	c				
Li	List of Uploaded Documents					
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On			
No	No documents available.					

# **QA Summary/Project Board Comments**

El Programa Rural Adelante, financiado por el FIDA y ejecutado por el Ministerio de Agricultura (MAG) con el apoyo de PNUD, es un programa que interviene la zona oriental de El Salvador y va a contribuir a reducir la vulnerabilidad socioeconómica e incrementar el ingreso, de manera sostenible, de las familias pobres en la zona rural en 87 Munic ipios de los departamentos de San Miguel, Morazán, Usulután y La Unión.

El objetivo del programa es incrementar los ingresos de forma sostenible de familias rurales en condición de pobrez a, a través de tres componentes complementarios: 1) Fortalecimiento de capacidades para la producción sustentabl e con adaptación al cambio climático, 2) Desarrollo de cadenas de valor competitivas, sustentables e inclusivas, y 3) Fortalecimiento del marco de políticas públicas de desarrollo rural.

El Programa inicio en el 2020 y en su inicio fue afectado por las restricciones por el COVID.

Se aplican las normativas de FIDA y de PNUD, por lo que el aporte para supervisar al proyecto es en las dos vías: - Misiones de supervisión del FIDA

- Juntas Ejecutivas para el Programa coordinadas por el PNUD

Ambos espacios de alto nivel, han funcionado adecuadamente para la conducción del mismo. Se encuentran en la etapa de recolectar lecciones aprendidas que permitirán en las próximas juntas o misiones, revisar las posibles afec taciones y tomar el rumbo pertinente.